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Abstract

Background: This study aimed to compare the health-related quality of life (HRQoL) of patient with bone tumor around the knee after resection arthrodesis.

Methods: Patients between 15 and 70 years of age who underwent resection arthrodesis in Srinagarind Hospital more than 1 year were recruited. Patients were interviewed using a short form-36 questionnaire (social functioning-36 [SF-36] Ver2.0 Thai version) regarding their daily life problems.

Results: Eighteen-patients with the mean age of 36.6 years (15—63 years) were included. (15 females) in the study. Histological diagnoses were giant cell tumor GCT 10 cases, osteosarcoma 7 seven cases, and low-grade chondrosarcoma 1 one case. Site of lesions was was distal femur 15 cases and proximal tibia 3 cases. According to HRQoL health related quality of life, patients have good quality of life (score SF-36>70) in all domains;:(Mean score: Physical functioning 75.55±21.88, role-physical 71.18±22.70, bodily-pain 85.41±22.51, vitality 77.43±16.76, general health74.44±19.16, social functioningSF38.05±26.40, role-emotional 80.09±22.89, and mental health 77.77±21.29). Complications post-operative are broken implants (3 cases, 16.7%) and infections (4 cases, 22.2%).

Conclusion: In patients with bone tumor around the knee after resection, arthrodesis have a good quality of life in all domains in SF-36 versionver 2.0 questionnaire including function, pain, and mentality.
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Introduction:
The knee is a common site for bone tumors, primary malignant and aggressive benign bone tumors, whether clinically painful or not. The most common aggressive benign bone tumor is giant cell tumor (GCT) (the second most common of benign bone tumor) [23] and the most common primary malignant bone tumor is osteosarcoma [4]. Treatments of malignant and aggressive bone tumor in adult have significant advancement. As a result of advances management including neoadjuvant chemotherapy, surgical technique, radiation, and survival rates improved considerably. Surgery offers local control of tumor while chemotherapy provides a general control of disease (micrometastasis) [1, 2]. The interesting in limb salvage has increased significantly because it is an attractive procedure to amputation [3]. The increasing number of limb salvage procedure is significant the importance to assess health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in this patient. Wide resection of tumor results in loss of bone, muscle, even, entire the joints. Reconstruction of bone defect following wide resection of malignant or aggressive benign bone tumors around the knee is a critical problem in orthopedic surgery. Several options are available for reconstruction such as endoprosthesys, allograft-prosthesis composite (APC), and even arthrodesis [24]. It is difficult to choose the best treatment for each particular patient due to several factors such as site, pathology, life expectancy, predicted function of limb, patient’s demand, expectation, and economy [27]. An ideal bone and joint reconstructions should be durability in weight-bearing lower extremities. Several previous studies are comparing HRQoL between limb salvage and amputation [5, 6, 7, 9], but no study is focusing in HRQoL of patients who underwent arthrodesis. The purpose of this study was to access the HRQoL in patients following knee resection arthrodesis. We also examine the complications and daily life problem in this patient population.

Patients and Methods

Patients
A retrospective review was made of 18 patients with various primary malignant and aggressive benign bone tumors around the knee who underwent limb salvage surgery and reconstruction with arthrodesis in Srinagarind Hospital. There are 300–350 patients with bone and soft tissue tumor every year in Srinagarind Hospital. Between 2004 and 2014, there were 30 patients who undergo knee resection arthrodesis. Patients were eligible if they were aged between 15 and 70 years. The time since surgery was >12 months. The malignant and aggressive benign bone tumors were
located at distal femur or proximal tibia and the surgical intervention consisted of arthrodesis. All patients must understand the Thai language and the questionnaire and also medical records of patients must have pathological reports, surgical technique, and follow-up data. Patients were not included if they cannot be contacted. This study was carried out according to the Declaration of Helsinki and the KhonKaen University Ethics Committee for Human Research approved the study protocol (HE591218).

**Surgical technique**

After wide resection of the tumor, arthrodesis of knee was performed in various ways. Arthrodeses were fixed by intramedullary nail or plate fixation. Bone reconstruction consisted of autologous bone graft (femoral turndown or tibial turn up combined with fibular strut graft) or allograft (Fig. 1).

**Patient assessment**

All patients had clinical assessment consisted partly of questionnaire and partly of interview. All patients were interviewed using Thai short form-36 version 2.0 when they came follow-up at orthopedic clinic in Srinagarind Hospital. Thai social functioning-36 (SF-36) version 2.0 has been validated[14], self-administered measure evaluating eight domains: Physical functioning (PF), physical role limitation, bodily pain, general health (GH), vitality, SF, emotional role, and. SF-36 consisted of 36 questions, each domain scale from 0 (worst health status) to 100 (best health status). Score >70 indicates good quality of life when compare to normal population. Thai SF-36 version 2.0 was found to be sufficient for research purposes, with Cronbach’s alpha ranging from 0.72 to 0.94.

**Quality of life assessment**

Table 1 shows scores from SF-36 version 2.0 in each patient. Most of the patients have good quality of life in SF domain (score>70, 83.33%). More than two-thirds of patients have scored >70 in PF domain. However, almost half of patients show limitation in physical role domain (38.88%). From Chart 1, means score of the study population shows scores >70 in all domains of SF-36 version 2.0 indicate that the study populations have good quality of life after resection arthrodesis. All patients who entered the study completed all the questionnaires. The patients consistently reported high quality of life on all domains of SF-36 version 2.0. Daily life problems were unable to work and unable to bend the knee.

**Complications**

The complications from surgery consisted of broken implant (16.7%) and infection (22.2%). According to infection, 60% are found in patients who underwent allograft reconstruction. All patients were cured from infection, average time was 23 months. Organism of infections was Klebsiella pneumonia and Staphylococcus coagulase positive.

**Discussion**

Limb salvage procedure has replaced amputation as the treatment of primary bone tumor around knee. Limb salvage provides advantage in function, appearance and is considered to be cost-effective when compared with amputation. Arthrodesis is a choice of reconstruction after wide.
arthrodesis using large segmental bone graft to retain length was first reported by Putti and Juvara. It was later modified by Campanacci and Costa[28]. The advantages of arthrodesis are limb salvage surgery and patients also have leg for walking or working. On the other hand, the important disadvantage is limit motion of knee so it makes problem when patient using public transportation like bus. About preserving joint motion surgery, there are many choices such as endoprosthesis or APC. Endoprosthetic replacement from the previous study has many advantages such as early stability, early weight-bearing, motion of knee, functional outcome good to excellent, and cosmetic, but aseptic loosening, local recurrence, mechanical failure, fracture, and infection are disadvantages of this method that may limit the long-term survival of prosthesis and lead to risk of revision [24,25]. Reconstruction with the use of autologous graft and allograft also has several advantages and disadvantages to consider before chosen. Related to autologous bone graft, advantage is union rate and decreasing risk of transmitted disease, but limitation of this method is the limitation of bone stock after wide resection [4]. However, for allograft, it can fill any size of bone defect because bone bank also has many size of bone, but patient has to deal with risk of delayed union, non-union, and also infection. Hence, there is not the best reconstruction method for every patient, but we have to consider the most suitable method for each tumor.

From previous study in quality of life after bone tumor surgery, which include limb salvage and ablative[11]. This study explored quality of life in patient who has bone tumor around the knee who aged 8–25 years. The study revealed significant worse score in quality of life in function domains. From SF-36, significantly lower scores in PF, role physical, GH and mental component. These results are similar with several studies earlier among patients with malignant of the leg that demonstrated lower HRQoL score in PF. However, from the previous several studies, they studied in general of limb salvage, prosthesis and arthrodesis, and amputation but no study which focuses just on knee arthrodesis in patients who underwent resection arthrodesis that has higher scores when compared to healthy population. Our study, however, has limitation in the study design that was cross-sectional study so there are some differences in surgical procedure of arthrodesis, and in size of population was relatively small.

Conclusions

It is suggested that arthrodesis is a good treatment of choice for reconstruction after wide resection bone tumor around the knee. Score of SF-36 shows good quality of life in all domains including physical status and mental status.
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