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Abstract

Plantar fibromatosis and well differentiated liposarcoma can have a similar clinical presentation of a slow growing superficial well defined mass on the plantar aspect of foot. We present a patient of plantar fibromatosis mimicking a lipomatous tumour of the foot. Marginal resection of the remaining tumour was performed. At two years postoperatively, there has been no recurrence of the tumour.
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Introduction

Plantar fibromatosis is a benign lesion involving the plantar aponeurosis. Ledderhose [1] in 1897 reported and described approximately 50 cases of contractures of the plantar fascia, leading to the entity being termed as Ledderhose's disease. Its similarity to Dupuytren's disease of the hand has also led to the term “Dupuytren's disease of the plantar fascia” [2]. It is commonly known to occur in the 3rd to 5th decade of life, has a male preponderance and is bilateral in 20 to 50% of cases [3,4,5]. It has an unknown etiology and is characterized by neoplastic proliferation of immature fibroblasts with spindle-shaped myofibroblasts within the plantar fascia [6]. They can be locally aggressive, demonstrate local recurrence but do not metastasize. Lipogenic tumours represent the most common soft tissue tumours [7]. Atypical and malignant lipomatous neoplasms are the most common variety of adult soft tissue sarcomas, accounting for nearly 20% of all sarcomas [8]. Lipomatous tumours are most frequently found in the extremities, retroperitoneum, groin and abdominal wall [9]. Lipomatous tumours can range from benign lipomas to highly malignant dedifferentiated liposarcomas [10].

Nomenclature and classification of lipomatous tumours has undergone major changes over time. Based on cytogenetic and molecular genetic studies, liposarcomas were classified by the World Health Organization Committee for classification of soft tissue tumours into five subtypes, atypical/well-differentiated liposarcoma, dedifferentiated liposarcoma, myxoid liposarcoma (including high grade round cell liposarcoma), pleomorphic liposarcoma and a rare mixed-type liposarcoma [8].

Some workers have proposed that the term ‘atypical lipomatous tumour’ should be used for tumours arising from extremities and chest wall, whereas ‘well differentiated liposarcoma’ should be used for describing tumours arising in the retroperitoneum and abdominal cavity [11,12,13].

Diagnosis of well differentiated liposarcomas of the extremities can be delayed due to relatively benign symptomatology and a low index of suspicion. Plantar fibromatosis and well differentiated liposarcoma can have a similar clinical presentation of a slow growing superficial well defined mass on the plantar aspect of foot. Here we present a patient of plantar fibromatosis mimicking a lipomatous tumour of the foot.

Case presentation

A 68-years-old male of Indian subcontinent was referred to our department with a ten year history of slow growing nodular masses on the non-weight bearing part of sole and along the lateral border of the right foot. The mass on the sole of the foot had become painful over the last one year. The masses were superficial, well defined, mildly tender and soft to firm in consistency (Fig. 1). A diagnosis of plantar fibromatosis was made, keeping lipoma as a differential diagnosis. Plain
radiographs showed no bony pathology. An unsuccessful trial of oral analgesics and customized insole was given. Prior to operative intervention for the mass on the plantar aspect of foot an excisional biopsy of the mass on the lateral border of the foot was performed. The biopsy revealed nodular non-encapsulated fibrofatty tissue on gross examination. Histopathological examination revealed nodular proliferation of adipocytes and myofibroblasts separated by collagenous bands. The nodules of fatty tissue showed a mixture of mature and immature fat cells with multivacuolation and nuclear indentation. Nuclear pleomorphism, hyperchromasia and mitosis were observed leading to a diagnosis of well differentiated liposarcoma. MRI revealed a 6.5cm x 6.6cm x 2cm well circumscribed, multilobulated lesion along the plantar aspect of the mid foot at the subcutaneous level that appeared to be indistinguishable from surrounding subcutaneous fat with internal linear strands. It was seen to insinuate between medial and lateral heads of plantar aponeurosis, however it did not show infiltration into the muscles of sole of the foot. Overlying skin of sole of foot appeared uninvolved. The lesion was hyperintense on T1w images, however suppresses completely on T2- STIR sequences. Few linear strands are noted that are isointense in T1w images and showed enhancement in post contrast images (Fig. 2). Except for subtle focal edema in the base of the fifth metatarsal there was no bony involvement.

Marginal resection of the remaining tumour was performed through an S-shaped incision on the non-weight bearing area of the sole. Tumour tissue was found to be lying between the dermis and the plantar aponeurosis. It was observed to be comprised of friable adipose tissue with a few intervening fibrotic septae. Tumor was non-encapsulated, however it could be easily separated from rest of the neighbouring structures. The adjacent aponeurosis and dermis appeared to be free of any gross tumour infiltration. The medial plantar neurovascular bundle also appeared free from any tumour infiltration. Histology revealed nodules of mature and immature adipose tissue separated by fibrovascular septae with areas of increased cellularity, pleomorphism, hyperchromasia and tumour giant cells (Fig. 3). Mitotic figures were rare. Occasional cell with lipoblast like morphology was seen. Focal S-100 positivity was seen. Fatty tissue adherent to the skin also showed lesion cells in them. Due to proximity of tumour to the skin of the sole, thin skin flaps had to be created. Postoperatively marginal skin necrosis was observed and wound healing was delayed. Patient did not receive any postoperative chemotherapy or radiotherapy. At two years postoperatively, there has been no recurrence of the tumour and patient is able to ambulate without any discomfort. Patients consent was solicited before publishing the report.

**Discussion**

Liposarcomas of the extremities are the second most commonly encountered...
soft tissue sarcoma after fibrous / fibrohistiocytic malignancies [14]. They occur almost exclusively in the age group between 40 to 60 years of age [14,15]. Liposarcomas are commonly encountered in the lower extremity, usually in the thigh, but rarely in the foot. Enzinger et al analyzed 1067 cases of liposarcoma, but none of them were in the foot [16]. There have been very few cases of liposarcomas of the foot reported in literature [17,18,19,20]. Preoperative diagnosis is infrequent. Hence, increasing the understanding of this tumour is important. WHO has categorized liposarcoma into five subtypes, out of which the well-differentiated variety is the most common, accounting for approximately 40% to 50% of all liposarcomas [21,14,22]. According to Evans et al a 'well-differentiated liposarcoma' and 'atypical lipoma' are identical in biological, behaviour, histological and karyotypic characteristics [23]. The term well differentiated liposarcoma is better used for lipomatous lesion in regions where wide resection is not possible (mediastinum and retroperitoneum), whereas the tumour is considered to be an atypical lipoma in other locations. Clinically, liposarcomas usually present as a painless soft tissue mass. Only around 10-15% liposarcomas present as a painful soft tissue mass [14]. These can be easily confused with fibromatosis which presents as slow growing single or multiple painful nodular thickenings [24]. A lipoma and a well-differentiated liposarcoma are also quite similar in clinical presentation. MR imaging is an essential tool for detection of liposarcoma as well as for studying its locoregional extension and relations (bone, soft-tissues and neurovascular involvement). MRI can help in differentiating between lipoma, liposarcoma and fibromatosis. Well-differentiated liposarcomas typically demonstrate a largely lipomatous mass, hyperintense on TW1 images, representing over 75% of the lesion in a nodular arrangement separated by thick non-lipomatous septae (>2mm but not exceeding 2cm). The non-lipomatous component shows variable enhancement on fat saturated T1w contrast enhanced images [25,26]. Lipomas also demonstrate abundant adipose tissue homogenously hyperintense on T1w images, similar to well-differentiated liposarcomas. However, lipomas have thin septae (<2mm) and contrast enhancement of lower signal intensity [21]. Fibromatosis on MRI shows nearly the same low-signal intensity as adjacent muscle on T1w and T2w images. Majority of fibromatosis show marked enhancement on gadolinium administration [27,28]. MRI must be performed prior to biopsy or any therapeutic management of a suspected liposarcoma.

A well-differentiated liposarcoma appears grossly like a well-circumscribed multi-lobulated mass. Some sections of the tumour reveal mature adipose tissue in abundance that appears identical to a lipoma. However, a well-differentiated liposarcoma can be histologically identified by a typical scattering of lipoblasts with irregularly shaped hyperchromatic nuclei, along with thick fibrovascular septae [16]. Immunohistochemical analysis helps to distinguish a lipoma from a well-differentiated liposarcoma. MDM2 and CDK4 markers are expressed by a well-differentiated liposarcoma [29]. Fibromatosis can be identified based on its characteristic nodular cellular proliferation of plump, spindle shaped cells with intervening collagen with infrequent mitotic figures [30]. Well-differentiated liposarcomas are not known to have malignant potential but local recurrence risk is high [31]. For such tumours of the extremity, the local recurrence rate can be as high as 43% [32]. Such tumours are also

Figure 3: a. Tumour tissue showing increased cellularity, pleomorphism and plump nuclei. (haematoxylin & eosin, 20x). b. Tumour tissue showing focal lipomatous differentiation and increased vascularity. (haematoxylin & eosin, 20x). c. Tumour cells showing focal S-100 positivity. (Immunohistochemistry, 10x). d. Tumour cells showing faint focal nuclear positivity for β-catenin. (Immunohistochemistry, 20x).
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lipomas can be treated by simple excision. The management of fibromatosis can range from conservative management, intralesional steroid injections, collagenase injections, radiotherapy to surgical excision [35,36,37,38,39]. Due to such different lines of management of these pathologies, it is imperative to establish the correct diagnosis before proceeding to treatment.

In this case, clinical presentation suggested a diagnosis of plantar fibromatosis, imaging studies suggested the possibility of a lipomatous tumour and histopathological evidence raised the suspicion of a well differentiated liposarcoma. However, the final diagnosis of plantar fibromatosis was established after correlating the clinical features with a definitive histopathological evaluation following excision of the mass.

Conclusions

Although plantar fibromatosis is a commonly encountered disease, it can be mimicked by rare pathologies like lipoma and liposarcoma. Hence, a high index of suspicion is required for their early diagnosis and proper surgical management. Management decisions should be taken after careful correlation between clinical, radiological and histopathological features.
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